

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

LAURA K. THOMAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

// CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:06CV52
(Judge Keeley)

BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST
COMPANY,

Defendant.

ORDER FOLLOWING FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

On August 30, 2007, the Court held a final pretrial conference at which it **GRANTED-IN-PART** and **DENIED-IN-PART** the defendant's pending motion for summary judgment and also ruled on the parties' pending motions in limine as follows:

- Plaintiff's Motion In Limine to Exclude Evidence Regarding Purported Liability of Non-Parties (dkt no. 105) is **DENIED**;
- Plaintiff's Motion In Limine to Exclude Evidence Regarding Certain Purported Corporate Records Prepared By State Bancorp (dkt no. 106) is **DENIED**;
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff's Expert From Presenting Testimony or Evidence Regarding the Interpretation of a Written Agreement Between the Parties (dkt no. 107) is **DENIED** as moot;
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff from Presenting Evidence Regarding "Suspect" Nature of State Bancorp Stock Records and Related Documents (dkt no. 108) is **DEFERRED**;
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Parol Testimony or Evidence Regarding the Collateral Transfer Agreement (dkt no. 109) is **DENIED** as moot;
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Testimony or Evidence Regarding Relationship to Jackson Kelly PLLC (dkt no. 110) is **GRANTED**;

ORDER FOLLOWING FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff From Presenting Testimony or Evidence Regarding the Authenticity of Mr. Thomas's Signature in This Action (dkt no. 111) is **DEFERRED**;
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff From Presenting Testimony or Evidence Regarding the Timing of the Production of the Collateral Register Receipt in This Action (dkt no. 112) is **GRANTED**; and
- Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Plaintiff From Presenting Testimony or Evidence Regarding the Felony Conviction of Paul Thomas (dkt no. 113) is **DENIED** as a method of impeachment by Plaintiff if Defendant calls Mr. Thomas as a witness, but **GRANTED** as to any other use.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this order to counsel of record.

DATED: September 5, 2007.

/s/ Irene M. Keeley

IRENE M. KEELEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE